

PATENT
450117-03589

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION FOR LETTERS PATENT

TITLE: NOISE REDUCTION IN A STEREO RECEIVER
INVENTOR: Jens WILDHAGEN

William S. Frommer
Registration No. 25,506
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP
745 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10151
Tel. (212) 588-0800

Description

- 1 The present invention relates to a method to denoise a stereo signal and to a stereo signal noise reducer working according to said method.

5 FM broadcasting is currently the most important broadcast system in the world. Analogue broadcast receivers have been developed for a long time with the result of highest performance receivers. New technology offers the possibility to use new algorithms for the reception of FM broadcast signals. In particular, the increasing processing power and decreasing costs of digital processors (DSPs) offer possibilities to process analogue broadcast systems like FM and AM digitally. The digital signal processing of analogue systems offers many advantages. The receiver size can be decreased by integration of functionality into one IC and digital broadcast systems like DRM or DAB can be integrated into the same LSI.

- 10 In FM broadcasting a multiplex signal is frequency modulated. Fig. 4 shows the spectrum of a multiplex signal. The multiplex signal consists of a sum signal and an amplitude modulated difference signal with suppressed carrier. The sum signal contains the information of the left+right audio signal and the difference signal contains the information of the left-right audio signal. To allow a demodulation of the amplitude modulated difference signal a pilot carrier is added to the multiplex signal.

15 In mobile FM receivers the reception situation is often bad. Current FM receivers switch from stereo reception to mono reception to gain a signal to noise ratio (SNR) of about 19 dB based on the fieldstrength and multipath detection. Such a denoising is based on the fact that the frequency demodulator output noise power spectral density is increasing squared to the frequency, i. e. that the mono signal which is equal to the stereo sum signal contains less noise than the stereo difference signal which is transmitted in a higher frequency range.

25 Since the switching from stereo to mono is mostly clearly audible, most FM receivers for mobile reception use a sliding stereo to mono transition. Such a sliding stereo to mono blending is disclosed in DE 44 00 865 C2 according to which the stereo channel separation might be continuously reduced dependent

1 on information about the program type as well as a noise level within the
signal and/or the fieldstrength of the frequency modulated RF-signal. Further,
US 5,253,299 and EP 0 955 732 A1 disclose to divide the stereo difference
5 the reduction of the stereo difference signal, independently in each subband.
Such a frequency selective stereo to mono blending improves the signal to
noise ratio and the channel separation of a FM receiver, especially when low
RF signal amplitudes are received. However, all these systems have in common
that the reception conditions are the main factor for performing the denoising
10 stereo to mono blending which might lead to an unwanted reduction of the
channel separation in many cases.

Therefore, it is the object underlying the present invention to provide an
improved method to denoise a stereo signal and an improved stereo signal
15 noise reducer.

The method to denoise a stereo signal comprising a stereo sum signal and a
stereo difference signal according to the present invention is characterized by
a frequency selective stereo to mono blending based on the masking effect of
20 the human auditory system.

The stereo signal noise reducer according to the present invention comprises a
first filter bank to split the stereo difference signal into a plurality of
subbands, respective first multipliers to weight each of the subbands of the
25 stereo difference signal with a respective corresponding control signal and a
first adder to sum all weighted subbands of the stereo difference signal to
build a frequency selective weighted stereo difference signal, and is charac-
terized in that the number and width of the subbands obtained via the first
filter banks are chosen according to the properties of the human auditory sys-
30 tem, and by a weighting factor determination unit which determines a respec-
tive control signal frequency selective based on the masking effect of the hu-
man auditory system.

Preferred embodiments of the method to denoise a stereo signal and the stereo
35 noise reducer according to the present invention which are defined in inde-
pendent claims 1 and 15, respectively, are respectively defined in the following
subclaims.

1 Therewith, according to the present invention, noise is suppressed in the audio
signal so that it is not audible anymore. Therefore, according to the present
invention psychoacoustical models are considered for denoising the stereo sig-
nal. According to the present invention the masking effect of the human audi-
5 tory system is exploited to reduce the audible noise and to increase the left/
right channel separation at a certain fieldstrength. The present invention uses
the effect of masking according to which a probe signal which is audible in ab-
solute silence is not audible in the presence of another signal, namely the
masker, as a parameter for the frequency selective stereo to mono blending,
10 since the masker can mask another, weaker (probe) signal which will remain
inaudible as long as it lies below the masking threshold.

Fig. 3 depicts the simultaneous masking threshold for narrow band noise
maskers at 250 Hz, 1 kHz and 4 kHz with a sound pressure level (SPL) of 40
15 dB, 60 dB and 80 dB. The absolute threshold of the masking for a masker with
80 dB SPL is plotted with a slashed line.

According to the invention preferably the noise included in the stereo signal is
used as probe signal and an audio component of the stereo signal as mask
20 signal or masker.

Further, according to the present invention preferably the frequency selectivity
is achieved by dividing the audio signal into subbands which number is
preferably determined according to the properties of the human auditory sys-
25 tem as well as the width of a respective subband.

Therewith, according to the present invention the effect is used that the
human ear summarizes tones with a certain bandwidth, the so-called critical
bandwidth, to one entire sound intensity. Tones that are out of the critical
30 bandwidth do not contribute to the masking threshold of the critical band. The
perceptible audio range can be divided into at least 24 critical bands. There-
fore, according to the present invention the denoising of the difference signal
is preferably done by splitting the difference signal into at least 24 subband
signals.

35

Still further, according to the present invention preferably every subband of
the stereo difference signal is attenuated which noise component lies above a

- 1 signal component of a subband of the audio signal corresponding to that of the stereo difference signal so that the noise component of the subband of the stereo difference signal lies below a respective absolute threshold of masking. The stereo-difference signal is double sideband modulated so that the in phase
- 5 component contains ideally signal+noise and the in quadrature component contains only noise. In practical implementations a crosstalk from the in phase component of the modulated difference signal to the in quadrature component of the difference signal is most likely. In other words, the in phase component contains signal+noise and the in quadrature component contains
- 10 noise+few signal. However, the in phase and the in quadrature components of the modulated difference signal still give a proper indication to the signal and the noise power in the difference signal. Therefore, the frequency selective stereo to mono blending based on the masking effect of the human auditory system can also be described as a stereo to mono blending based on psychoacous-
- 15 tical models and on the information of the signal and noise power in the audio signal. As mentioned above, the stereo to mono blending according to the present invention is performed by denoising of the difference signal before the stereo difference signal is added/subtracted to/from the stereo sum signal.
- 20 According to the present invention, further preferably an attenuation factor of a respective subband is determined by dividing the signal component corresponding to the subband of the audio signal by the noise component of the subband of the stereo difference signal.
- 25 Therewith, according to the present invention preferably the noise power in each subband of the difference signal is calculated and compared with the signal power in each subband of the audio signal. The subbands of the difference signal with a noise power above the absolute threshold of masking are attenuated. The attenuator reduces the noise power to approximately the level of
- 30 the absolute threshold of masking.

A respective influence factor is preferably subtracted from the attenuation factor of the respective subband to reduce the influence of the noise in the audio signal power to the calculation of the attenuation. The attenuation factor itself

35 might be limited to values between 0 and 1. In case of very bad reception conditions a limitation of the attenuation factor to values <1 reduces the effect of modulation of the stereo-effect. Therefore, the limitation might vary depending on the reception situation and/or the variation speed of the attenuation fac-

1 tors.

According to the present invention, the noise component of a subband of the difference signal is preferably determined on basis of its rms (root mean square) noise power. Further, the noise component of a subband of the stereo difference signal is preferably determined by weighting its noise power according to a respective corresponding absolute threshold of masking, and/or a factor depending on the reception situation, e. g. the fieldstrength of the received fm signal, a volume of output sound, a background noise level, and/or a speed of a vehicle within which the stereo signal is reproduced. The distortion in spoken programs can be reduced by weighting the subbands noise power depending on the ratio of the signal and noise power in the difference signal (in phase and in quadrature signal of the modulated difference signal).

15 The audio component corresponding to a subband of the stereo difference signal is preferably determined according to an audio signal power which is determined based on an extraction of the root of an averaged sum of a respective squared subband signal of an in phase component of the stereo difference signal and a respective squared subband signal of the stereo sum signal. Preferably, the squared subband signal of the in phase component of the stereo difference signal is weighted with a weighting factor depending on the reception situation, e. g. as explained below.

This weighting with a weighting factor which preferably has a range in-between 0 and 1 is performed to avoid errors in the calculation of the rms of the audio signal at low SNR. As mentioned above, the stereo sum signal contains only few noise power compared to the difference signal. Further, generally, the signal power and the sum signal is higher than the signal power in the difference signal. The audio signal power can be calculated with

stereo sum signal: $(1+r)$

stereo difference signal: $(1-r)$

for each subband to

$$(1+r)^2 + (1-r)^2 = 2(1^2 + r^2).$$

- 1 The weighting of the difference signal with the weighting factor <1 reduces the influence of the noise distortions from the difference signal to the calculated signal power. On the other hand, such a weighting factor results in errors in the calculation of the signal power. A compromise between the influence of the
- 5 noise power from the difference signal and the signal power from the difference signal needs to be found. A possible solution is the usage of variable weighting factors depending on the reception situation, for example a single use or a combination of the following factors: fieldstrength of the received fm signal, multipath, signal amplitude power in the sum/difference signal, signal ampli-
- 10 tude power of the audio signal, speed of a vehicle within which the stereo signal is reproduced, and/or a background noise level etc.

The rms signal of the signal and the noise is respectively calculated, since a rms filtered control signal results in a better audible audio signal. For the

15 same reason, rms detectors are the best choice in companders, since the human ear perceives the sound intensity logarithmic.

A computer program product according to the present invention comprises computer program means adapted to perform the above discussed method

20 steps when it is executed on a computer or digital processor.

As mentioned above, a stereo signal noise reducer according to the present invention comprises circuit elements to realize the noise reduction according to the method of the present invention.

25

Further objects, advantages and features of the present invention will be better understood from the following detailed description of a preferred embodiment thereof taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein:

30 **Fig. 1** shows a digital receiver for FM broadcast with psychoacoustically motivated stereo to mono blending according to the present invention;

Fig. 2 shows a hybrid filter bank used in the digital receiver shown in

35 **Fig. 1**;

Fig. 3 shows the simultaneous masking of the human auditory sys-

1 tem; and

Fig. 4 shows the spectrum of a stereo multiplex signal.

5 Fig. 1 depicts a digital receiver for FM broadcast with the psychoacoustically motivated stereo to mono blending according to the present invention.

A received stereo broadcast signal passes through a front end stage 14, an analogue to digital converter with IQ generator 15 to be supplied to a FM-
10 demodulator 16 which outputs the stereo multiplex signal which spectrum is shown in Fig. 4. This stereo multiplex signal is supplied to a lowpass filter 20 which outputs the stereo sum signal, i. e. the signal $l+r$, to a matrix circuit 21 which also receives the filtered stereo difference signal $diff$ to output the signal for the left channel l and the output signal for the right channel r .

15 The stereo multiplex signal output from the FM-demodulator 16 is further input to the digital phase locked loop circuit (PLL) 17 which outputs an I signal which is in phase to the 38 kHz subcarrier to a second mixer 18 which additionally receives the stereo multiplex signal to demodulate the amplitude
20 modulated stereo difference signal $l-r$ which is gained by filtering the output signal of the second mixer 18 with a second lowpass filter 19. The DPLL 17 further outputs a Q-signal which is in quadrature to the 38 kHz subcarrier to a first mixer 6 which also additionally receives the stereo multiplex signal to derive the in quadrature component of the stereo difference signal by lowpass
25 filtering with a first lowpass filter 7.

The stereo sum signal $l+r$, the stereo difference signal $l-r$ and the in quadrature component of the difference signal are subband filtered for the calculation of the signal and the noise power. The subband filtering with filters
30 with a bandwidth identical to the critical bandwidth of the human auditory system is described further below in connection with Fig. 2. Of course, also other implementations for the filter banks are possible.

Each of the $N+1$ output signals of the first filter bank 1 which filters the stereo
35 difference signal $l-r$ according to the human auditory system is fed to a respective first multiplier $2_0, \dots, 2_N$ which weights each of the subbands of the stereo difference signal with a respective corresponding control signal C_0, \dots, C_N

1 before each of the weighted subband signals is supplied to a first adder 3 to
sum all weighted subband signals of the stereo difference signal to build a fre-
quency selective weighted stereo difference signal diff which is supplied to the
matrix circuit 21.

5

In the following the calculation of a respective control signal C_0, \dots, C_N is
described by way of example for the control signal C_0 which is used to filter
the first of the $N+1$ subbands with index 0.

10 The respective rms of the noise and audio subband signals gets calculated. The
respective filter for the calculation of each rms signal is preferably a respective
non-linear filter with variable attack, hold and release time constants and ba-
sically consists of a squaring unit followed by a lowpass filter and a unit to
take the square root of the lowpass filtered signal.

15

The rms signal of the subband noise is obtained via a respective first rms filter
90 by rms filtering an output signal of a second filter bank 8 splitting the in
quadrature component of the difference signal into subbands corresponding to
the first filter bank 1, i.e. generating subbands corresponding to those gener-
ated by the first filter bank. To take the absolute threshold of a respective sub-
band into consideration the rms signal of the subband noise is multiplied with
a variable M_0 by a respective second multiplier 100. As mentioned above, this
variable M_n may also respectively depend on the reception situation and/or
the signal and noise power of the difference signal as explained above.

25

The rms of the corresponding audio subband signal is obtained with a respec-
tive second rms filter 120 by squaring the corresponding output signal of the
first filter bank 1, i.e. the audio signal corresponding to the subband with in-
dex 0, squaring the corresponding output signal of a third filter bank 11 which
divides the stereo sum signal $l+r$ in the same manner as the first filter bank 1
divides the stereo difference signal $l-r$, adding those both corresponding output
signals of the first and third filter banks, lowpass filtering the resulting sum,
and taking the root of the lowpass filtered signal. To avoid errors in the calcu-
lation of the rms of the audio subband signal at low SNR, the rms of the sub-
band difference signal is multiplied by a respective multiplier 130 with a vari-
able $0 \leq W_n \leq 1$, here $0 \leq W_0 \leq 1$, which depends on the reception situation as
explained above.

35

- 1 The respective rms of the audio signal is divided by the respective correspond-
ing rms of the noise in a respective division unit 40 to calculate the attenua-
tion C_0 of the corresponding subband difference signal by subtracting a cor-
rection factor K_0 which reduces the influence of noise in the signal power to
5 the control signal. As mentioned above, the control signal C_0 itself is limited to
values between 0 and 1. In case of very bad reception conditions a limitation of
the control signal to values <1 reduces the effect of the modulation of the ste-
reo effect. So the limitation should be depending on the reception situation
and/or the signal and noise power of the difference signal as explained above.

10

For the sake of simplicity delay elements to equalize the filters group delays
are not shown in Fig. 1.

- 15 Preferably, the subband bandwidth of the third filter bank 11 is slightly larger
than the bandwidth of the first and second filter banks 1, 8 in order to avoid
misfunctions in case of audio signals with very narrow bandwidth that are lo-
cated in the transition region from one subband to the neighbouring subband.

- 20 Fig. 2 shows a hybrid filter bank as realization of one of the first filter bank 1,
the second filter bank 8 and/or the third filter bank 11.

- 25 The non-uniform filter bank for the band-splitting of the difference signal 1-r
must be linear phase and perfect reconstructing. To reduce the calculation
power requirements, the filter bank should be split into an analysis and a
synthesis filter bank with sampling rate decimated subband filter signals. The
subband signals must not be critically sampled to avoid aliasing caused by the
attenuation of subband signals. In N.J. Fliege, "Multirate Digital Signal
Processing", Wiley & Sons, 1995 an oversampled, perfect reconstructing and
linear phase filter bank is proposed. However, the frequency resolution of the
30 proposed multicomplementary filter bank is too low to meet the requirements
of the human auditory system.

- 35 Fig. 2 shows the combination of such a complementary filter bank with two in-
terpolated DFT filter banks, as shown in R. E. Crochiere, L.R. Rabiner "Multi-
rate Digital Signal Processing", Prentice-Hall, 1983, to a 25-channel hybrid fil-
ter bank. This filter bank is better suited to meet the psychoacoustical model
of the human auditory system.

- 1 As mentioned above, of course other filter banks fulfilling the described requirements might be used.

Therewith, a method and device for the optimal stereo to mono blending based
5 on psychoacoustical models is realized according to the present invention. This leads to a huge performance gain especially in mobile receivers.

The system works reliable, independent from the reception situation. The detection of distortions caused by fading and multipath is reliable. The system
10 is insensitive to crosstalk from the in phase to the in quadrature component of the 38 kHz subcarrier caused by low quality transmitters and/or narrow IF filtering.

15

20

25

30

35